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Abstract. All web based Information Systems such as web portals and content management systems (CMS) need an Authentication and Authorization (AA) architecture. More than 1200 web portals and content management systems have been developed as yet. Existing portals and CMS use a similar centralized approach that gather all similar parts of system in a common part which is named Core. So with increasing the scale of system, scale of the Core will increase as well.

In centralized approach, all functionalities of AA perform by Core. With increasing scale of system, this architecture will be faced with many problems.

In this paper, a new decentralized architecture has provided for AA in web portals and content management systems. To obtain this aim, firstly, all existing approaches and their disadvantages for AA, has been investigated and categorized. Secondly, to obtain a new architecture and solving disadvantages of existing approaches, new AA principles has been developed using robust object oriented principles and heuristics. New architecture has been developed based on these obtained AA principles. Finally, for evaluation of new architecture, it has been shown that all requirements of existing approaches covers by new architecture.
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1. Introduction

Authentication is the process of confirming someone or something's identity [4]. It can be considered as giving answer to this question: Are they the ones who they say? Authorization is the process of allowing someone or something to actually do something [4]. Can they do this?

CMS and web portals as web based information system are composed of several modules [16]. More than 1200 web portal and CMS is presented in web applications [17]. For example, Drupal is composed of more than 8700 modules [18].

Every information system must have authentication and authorization part to perform and apply security features of each part of system. All existing web portals and CMS have used a centralized approach. In centralized approach all similar functionalities of system have been collected and gathered in a common part of system which is named Core. AA of any system, at the first glance, is look at similar functionalities. Therefore in existing architectures all functionalities of AA perform by the Core.

As increasing the scale and complexity of system, scale and complexity of Core is increasing as well. Then management of Core is turned to a big problem. On the other hand, while extending and modifying the Core, all modules might change. Therefore as increasing scale of Core, the Core can turn a GOD module [1].

There are many patterns of Authentication and Authorization of systems which are described in part 1 and each day is added to the number and variety of them. So with putting all these patterns into Core, the
dependency of modules to Core is increased and modularity of whole system is decreased. Centralized approach has some other disadvantages which are described in part 2.

Our suggested architecture for modular CMS and web portals is presented in Fig. 1. The main difference of our suggested architecture in comparison with other existing architectures is usage of robust object oriented principles and heuristics in designing “Core Functionalities” and its communications with other modules. Based on suggested architecture all modules have to control their contents by themselves and Core just prepares an infrastructure for that. In this architecture, all authorization functionality of each module, granted to itself. Meanwhile, authentication functionality performed by Core.

To support maximum extensibility and modifiability for communication between Core and modules, we used event-driven architecture [13]. To support various implementation platforms and maximum compatibility we used XML as communication protocol [14].

The aim of this paper is to provide a new architecture for AA in web portals and CMS which can be apply as a standard for AA in any Modular IS. New method is based on decentralized approach and tries to distribute the AA functionalities between modules.

To obtain this aim, Firstly, previous architectures and patterns of AA have been investigated. Secondly, disadvantages of centralized approaches have been investigated. Thirdly, to decentralize and distribute AA functionalities between modules, robust Object Oriented heuristics have been used and some modular decentralized principles has obtained. Then new AA decentralized principles extracted from these modular decentralized principles. Then, a new modular AA architecture for web portals and CMS has been proposed. Finally, for evaluation of new architecture, it has been shown that all requirements of existing approaches covers by new architecture.

Fig. 1. Proposed modular architecture for web portals.

2. Investigating Previous Approaches

In this section, AA architectures of web portal and CMS have been provided, and then AA approaches in other areas have been investigated and presented.
2.1. AA Architectures of web portals and CMS

To examine AA architecture of web portals and CMS, we used 20 most powerful CMS based on [19] and investigate all their architectures. These are as following: Alfresco WCM [20], CMSMadeSimple [21], Concrete5 [22], DotNetNuke [23], Drupal [24], e107 [25], eZ Publish [26], Joomla! [27], Liferay [4], MODx [28], Movable Type [29], OpenCms [30], Plone [31], SilverStripe [32], Textpattern [33], Tiki Wiki CMS Groupware [34], Typo3 [35], Umbraco [36], WordPress [37], Xoops [38]. None of them used decentralized approach.

Some of the architectures have used other approaches. For example [39], [40] and [41] used component oriented approaches, and [42] have used a pattern based approach. Some another architecture like [4] to reach a high quality of extendibility, used an event driven approach. But none of them used decentralized approach.

2.2. Previous AA approaches

Previous studies of AA used a centralize approach as well, hence all AA data and its implementation is performed by Core [4]. However some studies distributed AA data into modules, Core is controlling and deciding about AA [5]. This centralized thinking has some inadequacy which will be discussed in the reminder.

To authenticating, some systems uses an standard account management such as LDAP, SSO, NTLM, OpenID, OpenSSO and Site Minder etc [2], [3], [4], [5].

To perform authorization, a variety of resources of a system can be accessed by user in different levels. These resource types can be Application, Portlet, Locations and Files (Content-Model-Resources), Communications, Pages (or Forms), Use Cases (or Actions), Tables (or Database Entities), Objects (or Business Entities).

To authorizing, various approaches are provided. Role-Based Access Control (RBAC) is the common method for controlling user access to system resources and actions [6]. Some of the approached, for special uses, separated authentication from authorization [7]. These methods used federated user administration, therefore authentication performs in user’s home system and authorization performs in service provider system [8]. To support extensibility and modifiability, AA methods used some new tools like Aspect Oriented Programming Languages [9]. Open Service Gateway initiative (OSGi) framework uses java standard called Java Authentication and Authorization Service which is a centralized approach [15].

Cristian and Gabriela showed that by distributing the security functions, a more flexible architecture can be designed that would lower the costs associated with implementation, administration and maintenance [10].

3. New AA Architecture

Fig. 2 illustrates detailed AA architecture based on principles which are shown in Table 3.
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Fig. 2. AA Manager detailed architecture.

In this architecture, each module and Core as a module, have to implement a class by the name of EventProcessor and use EventBus as a channel for interacting messages between Core and modules. In this architecture we put messages in the form of Events. All of modules and even the Core use RaiseEvent
method from EventBus for sending events and EventBus uses SetEvent method from EventProcessor (implemented in each module) for sending delivered events to target modules. Security of interaction between Core and modules is performed by EventBus.

Interaction between Core and modules are prepared by two XML files by the name of Document Type Definition 1 (DTD1) and DTD2. For example after a user logged in to system, Core will send a request (in the form of an event) to get User Access List from all the modules to represent User Control Panel. This action will perform by the use of an event like: +getAccessList(String Username):String;

DTD1 is a template for sent events. DTD1 contains event-type, event-name, input parameters names and values, return type and value, event-sender, event-receiver(s), etc. The standard DTD of DTD1 has been shown as following:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!DOCTYPE DTD1 [  
<!ELEMENT Event         (InputFields,ReturnType)> 
<!ATTLIST Event 
  EventType      CDATA #REQUIRED  
  EventName      CDATA #REQUIRED  
  EventID        CDATA #REQUIRED  
  SenderName     CDATA #REQUIRED  
  SenderID       CDATA #REQUIRED  
  ReceiverNames  CDATA #REQUIRED  
  ReceiverIDs    CDATA #REQUIRED  
  RaiseDateTime  CDATA #IMPLIED  
  Description    CDATA #IMPLIED  
]>  
<!ELEMENT InputFields(Field+)>
<!ELEMENT Field(EMPTY)>  
<!ATTLIST Field 
  Name    CDATA #REQUIRED  
  Value   CDATA #REQUIRED ]>
<!ELEMENT ReturnType (DTD2*)]>  
```

Each module for sending an event must put it in the form of DTD1 and invoke RaiseEvent method from EventBus. Then EventBus analyze delivered event and in order to sending event to target modules, use the SetEvent method from EventProcessor class of each module.

After that, all recipient modules can response to this event by returning value of SetEvent in the form of DTD2. DTD2 contains returned values of each module. The standard DTD of DTD2 has been shown as following:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!DOCTYPE DTD2 [  
<!ELEMENT ReturnObjects  (Object*)> 
<!ATTLIST ReturnObjects 
  ModuleName     CDATA #REQUIRED  
  ModuleID       CDATA #REQUIRED  
  ReturnDateTime CDATA #IMPLIED  
  Name           CDATA #REQUIRED  
  Description    CDATA #IMPLIED  
]>  
<!ELEMENT Object(Field+)>
<!ELEMENT Field(EMPTY)>  
<!ATTLIST Field 
  Name  CDATA #REQUIRED  
  Value CDATA #REQUIRED ]>
```

Finally, EventBus put all of received DTD2s from each module in the <return> tag of DTD1 and passes the final DTD1 as return value of RaiseEvent.

4. Evaluation

Benefits of provided architecture in comparison with previous architecture can be present in 4 reasons:
Res1: Modules are independent in selecting their own AAP. They just have to consider Core’s standard interface.

Res2: Since modules are not dependent to Core for authorization, unit test of each module can perform easily far from the Core.

Res3: Modules are free to choose their authorization types. If a modular content management system is not available, Core has to ask for permission from corresponding module.

Res4: As establishing a new standard interface for Core and modules communication, integrity and portability of modules was increased.

5. Conclusion and Future works

In this paper, a new AA architecture as a new extensible and modifiable architecture for web portals and content management systems by a decentralized approach has been provided.

Provided architecture can be use in Enterprise IS, Service Oriented Platforms and any large-scale modular software. New architecture used an Event-Driven method, so changes can be applied easier by adding new event in it and used XML templates as its communication protocol which makes it so understandable for different platforms. Considering that provided architecture is based on robust object oriented principles and developed in a decentralized approach and distributed complexity of the Core among modules, so module development will take extra effort than before. Although it could be a disadvantage in comparison with centralized systems, this extra effort is worth benefiting of being decentralized.

The Process which followed in this paper can be apply to development of other part of suggested architecture in section 1 such as developing a Modular Data Access, Modular File Access and Modular Service Access (Modular Content Access).
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